Imperative programming with Python Class #3

Facundo Carreiro

ILLC, University of Amsterdam

January 2015

Functions: execution and the call stack

```
def cat_twice_and_print(part1, part2):
                                                  print_twice
     cat = part1 + part2
                                                  msg \mapsto 'welcome to the jungle'
     print_twice(cat)
                                                  cat_twice_and_print
def print_twice(msg):
                                                  part1 \mapsto 'welcome '
                                                  part2 \mapsto 'to the jungle'
     print msg
                                                  cat \mapsto 'welcome to the jungle'
     print msg
                                                  main
line1 = 'welcome,'
                                                  line1 \mapsto 'welcome '
line2 = 'toutheujungle'
                                                  line2 \mapsto 'to the jungle'
cat_twice_and_print(line1, line2)
```

Output:

welcome	to	the	jungle
welcome	to	the	jungle

Functions: execution and the call stack

```
def cat_twice_and_print(part1, part2):
    cat = part1 + part2
    print_twice(cat)
def print_twice(msg):
    f(msg)
    print msg
    print msg
line1 = 'welcome_u'
line2 = 'to_the_jungle'
cat_twice_and_print(line1, line2)
```

```
line2 \mapsto 'to the jungle'
```

```
Traceback (most recent call last):
   File "code.py", line 12, in <module>
     cat_twice_and_print(line1, line2)
   File "code.py", line 3, in cat_twice_and_print
     print_twice(cat)
   File "code.py", line 6, in print_twice
     f(msg)
NameError: global name 'f' is not defined
```

• Functions can call themselves in their definition.

```
# calculates n * m (in a complicated way)
def multiply(n, m):
    if n == 0:
        return 0
    else:
        return m + multiply(n - 1, m)
```

• How does the call stack look for multiply(2, 7) look like?

$\begin{array}{l} multiply \\ n \mapsto 2, \ m \mapsto 7 \end{array}$
$\texttt{ret}\mapsto 7+\dots$
multiply
$\mathtt{n}\mapsto \mathtt{1},\mathtt{m}\mapsto \mathtt{7}$
$ret\mapsto 7+\ldots$
multiply
$\mathtt{n}\mapsto \mathtt{0},\mathtt{m}\mapsto \mathtt{7}$
$\texttt{ret}\mapsto 0$

- It is crucial that the arguments of a recursive call are in some sense 'smaller' than the arguments of the function call itself.
- What happens if we write multiply as follows

```
def multiply(n, m):
    if n == 0:
        return 0
    else:
        return m + multiply(n, m)
```

```
>>> multiply(2, 7)
Traceback (most recent call last):
   File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
   File "<stdin>", line 5, in multiply
   File "<stdin>", line 5, in multiply
   ...
   File "<stdin>", line 5, in multiply
RuntimeError: maximum recursion depth exceeded
```

Stack overflow!

F. Carreiro (ILLC)

You can also have many recursive calls

```
def fib(n):
    if n == 0:
        return n
    else:
        return fib(n - 1) + fib(n - 2)
```

• Is it well defined? No, what about fib(1)?

```
def fib(n):
    if n == 0 or n == 1:
        return n
    else:
        return fib(n - 1) + fib(n - 2)
```

• Is it well defined? Yes.

• The argument itself *can* increase...

```
def reverse_string(s):
    return reverse_from_n(s, 0)

def reverse_from_n(s, i):
    if i == len(s):
        return ''
    else:
        return reverse_from_n(s, i+1) + s[i]
```

• But if you look closer len(s) - i is strictly decreasing.

• Is the following function well defined (for n > 0)?

```
def collatz(n):
    if n == 1:
        return 0
    elif n % 2 == 0:
        return 1 + collatz(n/2)
    else:
        return 1 + collatz(3*n+1)
```

• Who knows! It has been an open problem for years.

The collatz conjecture

By the XKCD webcomic



THE COLLATZ CONJECTURE STATES THAT IF YOU PICK A NUMBER, AND IF ITS EVEN DIVIDE IT BY TWO AND IF ITS ODD MULTIPLY IT BY THREE AND ADD ONE, AND YOU REPEAT THIS PROCEDURE LONG ENOUGH, EVENTUALLY YOUR FRIENDS WILL STOP CALLING TO SEE IF YOU WANT TO HANG OUT.

- In general, programming languages come with a *library* of functions organized in some way.
- In Python, the library is organized in modules.
- For the moment, a module is a collection of related functions.
- Modules are used (imported) with the import keyword.

Python module library

By the XKCD webcomic



Using modules

- As an example we will use the **random** module. It contains functions to generate random numbers in various probability distributions.
- First we need to *import* the module

>>> import random

• The functions in the module will be inside the random *namespace*. They are accessed using the *dot notation*

```
# Returns an integer from 1 to 10, endpoints included
>>> random.randint(1, 10)
7
```

• Suggested homework: read the book's intro to the math module.

Using modules

• You can import functions into the main namespace

```
>>> from random import choice
>>> choice('abcdef')
c
```

• You can also import everything into the main namespace

```
>>> from random import *
```

- But please don't! unless it is extremely necessary.
- You can import modules and assign them a different name

```
>>> import random as r
>>> r.randint(1, 10)
7
```

Random numbers By Dilbert

DILBERT By Scott Adams



 Related topic in Theoretical Computer Science: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Algorithmically_random_sequence

Repetition

• Suppose we want to make a function that given *n* calculates $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i$.

```
def sum_up_to(n):
    res = 1 + 2 + ... + n
    return res
```

This is not a valid program, for many reasons.

• Luckily, computers are very good at doing repetitive things. We have the while statement to aid us.

```
def sum_up_to(n):
    i = 1
    v = 0
    while i <= n:
        v = v + i
        i = i + 1
    return v
```

The body gets repeated while the condition evaluates to true.

Repetition

- Another handy construction is the for statement
- It goes through so called 'iterable' objects, e.g. strings

```
>>> for letter in 'hello':
... print 'Give me an "' + letter + '"!'
...
Give me an "h"!
Give me an "e"!
Give me an "l"!
Give me an "l"!
Give me an "o"!
```

• 'Lists' are also iterable (we will see them later)

```
>>> range(3)
[0, 1, 2]
>>> for i in range(3):
... print i**2
...
0
1
4
```

Repetition: while loops

- while loops are a powerful but tricky construction.
- They can run forever and make our program hang!

while True: x = x + 1

ok, we would not write that, but what about...

```
x = int(raw_input())
sum = 0
while x != 100:
    sum = sum + x
    x = x + 2
```

• If x > 100 or x is odd this loop never ends.

Repetition: loop invariants

- A loop invariant is an invariant used to prove properties of loops.
- For example, correctness and termination of loops.
- Connected to pre and post-conditions.

E.g.: count(c:String, sentence:String) \rightarrow res:Int

```
• pre: True
```

• post: $res = |[1 : i \in \{0, \dots, |sentence| - 1\}, sentence_i = c]|$

Suppose we have the following implementation

```
def count(c, sentence):
    i = 0; n = 0
    while i < len(sentence):
        if sentence[i] == c: n = n + 1
        i = i + 1
    return n
```

Repetition: loop invariants

```
post: res = |[1: i \in \{0, ..., |sentence| - 1\}, sentence_i = c]|
def count(c, sentence):
    i = 0; n = 0
    while i < len(sentence):
        if sentence[i] == c: n = n + 1
        i = i + 1
        return n</pre>
```

Let ${\bf C}$ be our loop condition and ${\bf I}$ be our loop invariant, a theorem says:

$$\frac{\{C \land I\} \text{ body } \{I\}}{\{I\} \text{ while } (C) \text{ body } \{\neg C \land I\}}$$

• C: *i* < |sentence|

• I: $0 \le i \le |\texttt{sentence}| \land n = |[1 : x \in \{0, \dots, i-1\}, \texttt{sentence}_x = c]|$

If we chose correctly our invariant, with $\neg C \land I$ we should be able to prove the postcondition.

F. Carreiro (ILLC)

Repetition: where's the catch?

Are the for and while statements equivalent?

• Short answer: in Python, yes.

Long answer:

- In old languages like BASIC and Pascal the for statement was meant to be used as for i = A to B: body. Modifications to i in the body would not change the iteration.
- In a while statement, the expression gets evaluated in every loop.

Some facts (check this out):

- In theoretical computer science the difference between while and for statements is kept.
- Using what we have seen you can write any possible program!
- But, if you don't use while you can only write 'some' of them.
- In fact, you could write any program using just ONE while .

References

- Chapters 3 and 5-7 of the book http://greenteapress.com/thinkpython/thinkpython.html
- Wikipedia article on 'Call Stack' http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Call_stack
- Wikipedia article on 'Collatz conjecture' http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collatz_conjecture
- Wikipedia article on 'Loop invariants' http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Loop_invariant
- The random module http://docs.python.org/library/random.html